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ABSTRACT

The University of Wisconsin-Madison Snowmobile Team has designed and constructed a clean and quiet, high performance snowmobile for entry in the 2011 Society of Automotive Engineers’ Clean Snowmobile Challenge. Built on a 2007 Polaris FST LX trail chassis, this machine features a Weber AG 750 cc port fuel-injected turbocharged four-stroke engine equipped with a fuel sensor which allows operation ranging from regular gasoline to an 85% blend of ethanol and gasoline (E85). The engine has been customized with a Woodward control system which allows for full engine optimization using a range of fuels from E0 to E85. An electronic throttle body and a post-turbo mass air flow sensor are used to control fuel injection. Utilizing a heated oxygen sensor and a 3-way catalyst customized for this engine by W.C. Heraeus-GmbH, this sled reduces NOx, HC and CO emissions by up to 98% to an average specific mass of 0.15, 0.06, 6.90 g/kW-hr respectively. Utilizing a specialized camshaft with low valve overlap, Wisconsin reduced both engine-out emissions and fuel consumption by approximately 10%. With all of the modifications, the clean turbocharged MPE 750 is capable of a power output of 65 kW and utilizes a custom catalytic muffler system to reduce sound levels to 71 dBa using SAE test procedure J192. The engine and muffler system is packaged in a manner that maintains the snowmobile’s aggressive OEM appearance. In addition to engine tuning, extensive driveline efficiency testing was completed in order to minimize road load power and maximize fuel efficiency. By replacing the 128 inch suspension with a Polaris IQ 121 inch suspension, and utilizing a 121 inch 2.86 track with 2 additional bogie wheels resulted in an overall drag reduction of 23.63%, correlating to a further 16.69% increase in fuel economy.

INTRODUCTION

The Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) developed the “Clean Snowmobile Challenge” (CSC) in 2000 when snowmobiles were banned from National Parks. It is an engineering design competition among colleges and universities that demonstrates clean, quiet and practical alternatives to the conventional two-stroke snowmobile. Competition entries are redesigned versions of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) snowmobiles and are expected to significantly reduce unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, nitrous oxide, and noise emissions while maintaining a consumer acceptable level of performance. Successful CSC entries must also demonstrate reliability, efficiency, and cost effectiveness. The 2011 CSC will be held in Michigan’s Keweenaw Peninsula from March 7-12th.

The following paper discusses how the University of Wisconsin – Madison team has engineered an entry for the 2011 CSC that improves upon the industry’s best available emissions and sound technology, while maintaining exceptional riding characteristics. The first section addresses the engine selection process and modifications to the snowmobile’s drivetrain. The second section describes the fuel system modifications necessary for flex fuel capability. The third section focuses on emissions and emissions reduction techniques. The next section discusses specific design enhancements that reduce overall snowmobile noise. Finally, the paper addresses general snowmobile modifications employed to enhance the previously mentioned technologies. In addition, the paper summarizes the implementation costs compared to a comparable production snowmobile.
MARKET SURVEY

The guiding principles for the 2011 UW-Madison clean snowmobile design are simplicity, efficiency, and practicality: the design objective is to win the Clean Snowmobile Challenge with a snowmobile that is not only clean and quiet, but maintains the speed and handling characteristics that consumers expect from a modern snowmobile. In order to market a product to current snowmobile consumers, the team first determined the characteristics which are important in this demographic sector.

In 2009, the team attended the Hay Days Grass Drags in North Branch, Minnesota. While exhibiting the 2009 CSC entry, the team surveyed 115 attendees with the goal of determining the performance requirements of the average consumer. The survey asked volunteers to rank several characteristics that are important to a consumer when buying a snowmobile from the following list: acceleration, handling, price, fuel economy, and emissions. The results, as seen in Figure 1, show that acceleration, trail handling, and price influence a buyer significantly more than fuel economy or emissions.

![Snowmobile Characteristic Importance Rankings (5 is most important)](image)

Figure 1: A survey of 115 snowmobilers taken at the 2009 Hay Days Grass Drags shows that acceleration, price, and handling are the most important considerations when purchasing a snowmobile.

The survey results confirm the guiding principles of the Madison Clean Snowmobile Team. Across every age group, snowmobilers will not accept an environmentally friendly snowmobile if it does not exhibit acceptable acceleration and handling performance.

To more broadly confirm the survey results, the team checked the industry sales numbers for the 2010 model year. The manufacturer with the highest market share, Bombardier Recreational Product’s Ski-Doo line, builds mainly lightweight high performance two strokes that demonstrate excellent acceleration and handling characteristics. Also, the best selling model from Polaris in 2010 was their 600 Rush which has excellent handling and acceleration [1]. These results clearly fall in line with the data collected in the consumer survey.

ENGINE OPTION EVALUATION

Given the market survey results demanding a snowmobile with excellent acceleration and handling, the team searched for engines with good power-to-weight ratios. Engines were considered on a basis of hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions, power-to-weight ratio, cost, and ease of implementation. To match the design to CSC competition objectives, emissions and power-to-weight ratios were equally weighted, followed sequentially by ease of implementation and cost. The following engine options were considered by the team:

- Two-stroke (conventional) snowmobile engines
- Semi-direct injection (SDI) snowmobile two-strokes
- Four-stroke snowmobile engines
- Turbo-charged four-stroke snowmobile engines
- Direct injection (DI) two-stroke marine engines
- Four-stroke personal watercraft (marine) engines
- Compression ignition (CI) engines

The choice between current snowmobile engine technologies is fairly straightforward. Conventional and SDI two-strokes have significantly higher power-to-weight ratios than current snowmobile four-strokes. However, snowmobile emissions testing conducted by Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) clearly states that commercially available four–strokes “…emit 98-95 percent less HC, 85 percent less CO, and 90-96 percent less PM” than conventional two-stroke snowmobile engines [2]. Though four-strokes have significantly higher NOx than two-strokes, the study notes that the use of a catalyst system on a four-stroke can nearly eliminate NOx, while further reducing HC and CO.

While the SwRI study did not evaluate SDI two-stroke technology, current publications from Bombardier Recreational Products, the developer of SDI technology, suggests that the system improves emissions only 50% over conventional two-strokes [3]. While SDI engines are a significant improvement compared to conventional two-stokes, they cannot attain current four-stroke emission levels and the SDI injectors have not been design validated for E85 operation. Aside from the three pollutants measured for competition scoring, two-stroke spark ignition engines are known emitters of benzene, 1,3-butadiene and gas-phase and particle-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, all of which are classified as known or probable carcinogens by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) [4].

The team evaluated compression ignition (CI) engines, recognizing their excellent HC and CO emissions. However, CI engines were eliminated from consideration due to their poor power to weight ratio and cold start limitations. Similarly, the marine engine options were eliminated because of their low power to displacement ratios. The difficulty of adapting a four-stroke motorcycle
A V-twin engine to a snowmobile CVT eliminated this option. CSC rules restrict peak horsepower to 130 horsepower and at these power levels, four-stroke engines designed for snowmobiles and ATVs offer far easier implementations.

To aid in our engine selection, the Hay Days survey also had volunteers choose the powertrain option they would most likely buy between a semi-direct injection two-stroke, a port-injected four stroke, or a zero emissions electric. As seen in Figure 2, almost 50 percent of the voters claimed they would choose a four stroke engine to power their snowmobile.

![Snowmobile Type Preference, Given Equal Price and Performance](image)

Figure 2: Results from the 2009 Hay Days survey showing people would prefer a four stroke engine in their snowmobile.

**FINAL ENGINE SELECTION**

Given the heavy weighting on emissions in CSC competition scoring, the team determined that a commercially available snowmobile engine offered the best starting point. Commercially available turbocharged versions of the Polaris FST and Ski-doo 4-TEC are capable of power output of 97 kW (130 hp). To compare the emissions of different engines, the Madison team examined a SwRI study which compared conventional two-stroke snowmobile emissions to that of the Arctic Cat 660 and the Polaris Liberty. As seen in Table 1 the un-catalyzed four-stroke engines slightly increase NOx emissions while significantly reducing HC and CO emissions.

![Table 1: Emissions Data from a SwRI Study [3.]](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HC (g/kW-hr)</th>
<th>CO (g/kW-hr)</th>
<th>NOx (g/kW-hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-stroke average</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Cat 660 (4s)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polaris Liberty (4s)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-performance turbocharged snowmobile engines such as the Polaris FST and Arctic Cat 660T almost double the power output of the base engine but at a penalty of increased emissions, fuel economy and cost. Studying the CSC competition results from 2009 and 2010, the naturally aspirated FS engine consistently achieves a 9% increase in fuel economy when compared to the Polaris FST engine. However, new technologies are making it easier to create a clean, fuel efficient and yet powerful turbocharged engine.

Given the CSC core objectives of clean, quiet, and powerful the team chose to use the Weber AG 750 cc turbocharged four stroke recreational engine retrofit with a custom camshaft with reduced valve overlap. This creates a higher effective compression ratio which increases in-cylinder temperatures and engine-out NOx emissions. The reduced valve overlap also reduces the engine’s peak engine speed from 8500 rpm to 6500 rpm with a corresponding decrease in peak power from 100 kW to 65 kW which is 24 kW higher than the high-speed naturally aspirated engine. The reduced valve overlap ultimately increases fuel efficiency while reducing engine-out CO and HC emissions.

Testing by Weber AG shows that retarding ignition timing by 15 degrees significantly reduces HC and NOx emissions by reducing combustion temperatures and allowing continued reactions in the manifold and turbine [6]. The 3K-Warner turbocharger can produce up to 2000 mbar of boost. Transient response is improved with the following features:

- Pulse charging with 360° crank angle
- Volume-minimized exhaust system
- Reduced duct lengths and volume on intake
- Use of a small turbine

On a production engine, full boost can be achieved in 1.15 s. This combination provides plenty of power to satisfy the performance demands of the snowmobile enthusiast, while maintaining low emissions required by law. The engine also implements a short stroke, single camshaft with rocker arms, 20° valve angle and dry sump lubrication for a minimal build height of 455 mm, similar to a typical two stroke engine [7].
Table 2: Weber AG MPE 750 Engine Specifications [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Type</th>
<th>Four Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinders</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>750 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore x Stroke (mm)</td>
<td>85 x 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>Bosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fueling</td>
<td>EFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>9:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTROL HARDWARE**

Since Polaris would not supply the tools to reprogram the stock FST engine controller to operate on E85, Wisconsin is utilizing a Motorola MPC555 Powertrain Control Module (PCM) embedded system that is specifically designed for automotive applications. The PCM, which utilizes an operating system developed by Woodward, is hermetically sealed and suitable for the under-hood environment. It can withstand temperatures from -40°C to 130°C, vibrations up to 18G and submersion in water to a depth of 3 m. It has 32 analog inputs, 6 digital inputs, 20 low side driver power outputs, 8 logic level outputs and a dual 2.0B CAN interface.

**Figure 3:** Picture of the Motorola MPC555 powertrain control module which is used as the engine control module in the Bucky CFS.

The base control strategy was supplied by Woodward and its Motohawk software auto-code generates the control code from this Simulink model. The Mototron model was modified for this particular application and has spark and fueling tables that are load and speed dependent. In addition, the model has adaptation for atmospheric conditions and cold starts. Finally, the model estimates fuel rates using a global air-fuel ratio so that switching from gasoline to ethanol is seamless.

**FUEL ECONOMY IMPROVEMENT**

The competition objectives are to develop a clean, quiet, and fuel efficient design with moderate performance. Building off of the 2010 Bucky CFS, an already extremely clean and quiet snowmobile, the team decided to focus on improving the fuel efficiency of the sled. This was accomplished in three major areas: cold start, post-turbo mass air flow sensor for fueling and driveline efficiency.

Competition objectives for 2011 allowed for more precise calibration of the engine. Knowing the specific narrow range of ethanol being used at competition this year allowed for the spark advance and turbo boost to be finely tuned. Testing was performed with an engine dynamometer to optimize these two parameters for fuels ranging from E20-E29 to achieve the best possible fuel consumption.

A major change to the stock Weber MPE 750 to improve fuel economy was the utilization of a new low rpm camshaft. This camshaft reduces intake and exhaust valve overlap by 32 crank angle degrees. This reduced overlap is effectively increasing the mean effective pressure of the engine. As a result, at a given engine speed, the low overlap camshaft will produce a higher torque. This allows for a lower normal operating speed, reducing the overall friction in the engine. The higher mean effective pressure also results in a lower required fueling rate to produce the required torque. The result of reducing engine friction and fuel rate for a given required torque helps reduce overall fuel consumption.

The Madison team benefits from having a direct drive electric snowmobile available to perform track drag studies. This benefit allowed for a detailed study to be done on improving driveline efficiency to reduce power and hence fuel consumption.

**POWERTRAIN ENHANCEMENT**

The stock turbocharged Weber MPE 750 engine (Figure 4) is a highly tuned and well developed engine made to be sporty and practical. While Weber did not leave much room to improve this engine, the Madison team found some places to improve efficiency, power, and fuel economy.
The crank train is made with a nitrided shaft and a four counterweight balance shaft that is gear driven. This balances 50% of the oscillating masses and reduces friction losses significantly over typical motorcycle engines as seen in Figure 5 [7].

Also, to improve tune ability, efficiency and consistency, the team utilized an electronic throttle body (ETB) in the intake system (Figure 6). This enhancement reduces complications of calibrating the engine, makes cold start possible, and improves idle conditions over an idle air controller.

Fuel injection calibration and control was made possible by utilizing the electronic throttle body, a wideband oxygen sensor, and a fuel control strategy developed in Matlab Simulink. A Bosch Wideband O2 sensor was utilized in Bucky CFS for closed loop control of the fuel injection. During large transients where the closed loop system is disabled and the fuel rate is determined from the MAF (Figure 7) and the desired stoichiometric ratio. If the MAF sensor faults, the controller switches to speed/density fueling.

The Woodward engine controller coupled with the stock electronic boost controller allowed students to create their own turbo boost control strategy (Figure 7: Picture of the flex fuel sensor and post-turbo mass airflow sensor to determine desired fueling rate.)
This allowed for optimization of fuel consumption when boost was not needed while also minimizing lag. This also allowed for safeties to be built into the control strategy to limit boost in certain situations. When coolant temperature is below 20° C (68° F) boost pressure is limited to 1400 mbar (20.3 psia). As temperature increases to 60° C (140° F) pressure is linearly increased to 2000 mbar (29 psia) at full power. Boost is also reduced if coolant temperature exceeds 87° C (188.6° F). These safeties protect the engine and turbo from excessive wear and damage.

Investigation of BSFC charts indicated that maximum engine efficiency was produced between 4000 and 5000 rpm. Secondary clutch adjustments were made to allow for operation within this engine speed range at low and mid-range load conditions. An increase in driven clutch spring tension raised the engine's operating speed by reducing the upshift rate. A 23/44 gear-set was installed in the chaincase, providing a gear reduction of 1.91. This gear combination was chosen to improve engine efficiency at cruising speed.

Another improvement made to the powertrain to enhance efficiency was to machine the driveshaft paddles into true circles. The team purchased a hollow, light weight driveshaft to reduce weight. Like most drive shafts purchased, this one came with plastic molded drive paddles that were un-machined and out-of-round. Relaxing and tensioning of the track can make the snowmobile less efficient as well as increase snowmobile noise and wear. To reduce these effects, the driveshaft was machined on a lathe for concentricity.

**DRIVELINE EFFICIENCY**

In an effort to further improve fuel economy the Madison team did extensive driveline efficiency testing to
determine the effects of track length, studs, track weight, and bogie wheel placement. This testing was done using the 2009 BuckEV electric snowmobile. By data logging motor torque and shaft speed during testing, a very precise measure of road load for the chassis was determined at various speeds for each of the different driveline configurations.

Based on the driveline testing the largest potential for reduction in road load was to replace the stock 128 inch suspension on the Bucky CFS with the 121 inch model from a Polaris Shift Chassis. This change not only reduces the amount of friction with the surface due to reduction in surface area contact but also reduces the rotational moment of inertia due to the lighter 121 inch track. As seen in Figure 9, this combination leads to a reduction in road load from 14.73 kW (19.75 hp) to 11.41 kW (15.30 hp) for a constant traveling speed of 40 km/hr (25 mph) on a packed snow surface. To ensure consistency of snow conditions, both of these tests were completed on the same pre-packed snow surface in the same night.

The drag reduction is relatively independent of sled speed. Switching to the 121 inch suspension would translate to a 22.5 percent decrease in the power required by the Bucky CFS. Based on the dynamometer data collected during the emissions portion of the competition last year, this would result in a 15.69 percent increase in fuel economy.

Additional testing was conducted to determine the effect of studding the track with respect to total road load power. As conveyed in Figure 7, adding studs changed the power required at 40 km/hr from 12.16 kW (16.31 hp) to 12.38 kW (16.60 hp). However, at low speeds the studded track leads to slightly decreased road load due to better traction.

Figure 10: Plot showing the effect adding studs had on the power required at various speeds.

UW-Madison’s final set of testing focused on 2.86 pitch, 1-ply tracks versus 2.52 pitch, 2-ply tracks and varying numbers of bogie wheels. The snowmobile industry is moving towards 1-ply tracks due to their ease of manufacturing and lightweight characteristics. The 1-ply track which Madison tested was 5 pounds lighter than the equivalent 2-ply version. To accommodate the different pitch of the 1-ply track, a 2.86 pitch driveshaft had to be used. The stock suspension came with 2 bogie wheels per side in addition to the rear idler, however, a total of 4 bogie wheels can reasonably fit on each side.

Three different track and bogie wheel configurations were able to be tested before snow conditions drastically changed. Figure 11 shows the road load power at the five tested speeds for these varying configurations.

Figure 7: Influence of changing track ply and number of bogie wheels on road load power.

The results indicate that the larger pitched drive sprocket with the 1-ply track reduced drag by 5.31% compared to the convention 2.52 pitch 2-ply track. Additional bogie
wheels in the suspension further reduced drag by 3.02%. With the ideal setup of a 2.86 track and a 121 inch suspension, a total driveline efficiency improvement of 23.63% was achieved compared to the stock 128” suspension. In summation, changes to the stock driveline configuration produced a 16.69% decrease in fuel consumption.

TRACTION

At the 2008 competition, several judges during the subjective handling event commented that handling and braking capabilities of the sled would be vastly improved with increased traction through the use of studs. Since one of the goals of this competition is to maintain performance and consumer acceptability of the snowmobile, Madison listened to the judges’ comments and added 96 studs in a centerline pattern to help increase traction during acceleration, cornering and braking while minimizing the effects of studs pulling out of the track. While this does slightly reduce the overall efficiency of the driveline at higher speeds, the increased performance and safety greatly outweigh this detriment.

FUEL SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS

In order to run on ethanol blends, modifications to the fuel delivery system were necessary. Because of ethanol’s corrosive properties, the team upgraded all fuel system components to an ethanol compatible material. Ethanol fuel has lower energy per volume than gasoline, so the fuel injectors must be changed to accommodate the need for increased fuel flow. Madison identified a split-port, 6-nozzle Bosch fuel injector that was capable of delivering nearly twice the fuel delivery rate. The injector specifications are given in Table 3.

Table 3: Fuel Injector Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gasoline Injector</th>
<th>Ethanol Injector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosch Part #</td>
<td>0 280 156 236</td>
<td>0 280 156 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Color</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 Sec Flow</td>
<td>213 g</td>
<td>400 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulse Flow</td>
<td>6.3 mg</td>
<td>11.2 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulse Time</td>
<td>2.5 ms</td>
<td>2.5 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Pressure</td>
<td>300 kPa</td>
<td>300 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Stage</td>
<td>SEFI</td>
<td>SEFI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another effect of increased fuel flow is that a larger fuel filter is needed. The team decided to use an inline 35 micron sintered bronze fuel filter capable of delivering fuel at five gallons per minute. Ethanol dissolves impurities in poor fuel requiring a larger, finer filter to protect the fuel system.

To allow for flex fuel capability, the team installed a Continental Flex Fuel sensor. The sensor was formally sold under the name Siemens VDO. It uses a dielectric measuring principle to detect the amount of alcohol in the fuel. The Continental flex fuel sensor also reports fuel conductivity and temperature [8]. Since air fuel ratios are calculated on a gravimetric basis and fuel injectors are measured on a volumetric basis, the fuel temperature is measured to allow for density compensation.

Figure 8: SimuLink block diagram for flex fuel engine control strategy, utilizes inputs from Continental flex fuel sensor and volumetric efficiency tables to determine desired fueling rate.

These fuel properties, along with the mass air flow measurements are supplied to the Mototron controller. The engine management system is based on the physical models of the induction and combustion process instead of simply using correction tables for deviations from the base calibration. Wisconsin’s calibration is designed to provide a prescribed global air-to-fuel ratio. The computing power of the Mototron controller is used to continually calculate the correct fuel injection amount utilizing the intake mass air flow rate, the fuel density and the desired fuel air ratio (Figure 12). Once the engine is ‘roughly’ (within 0.2% of target exhaust oxygen content) calibrated on the engine dynamometer, the closed loop fuel trim algorithm which utilizes the heated wide-band oxygen sensor is activated and is responsible for fine tuning the air-fuel ratio to a stoichiometric level.

CALIBRATION

Typically, when calibrating an engine, there are two main goals to adhere to. The first is to minimize BSFC under part-throttle operation and the second is to maximize torque at WOT while staying within specified constraints. Typical constraints consist of emissions levels, running quality, exhaust gas temperature limits, knock limits, and engine speed [9]. These constraints define a window...
that the engine must be calibrated to operate within (Figure ).

![Graph of the typical calibration window of an internal combustion spark-ignition engine](image)

**Figure 93:** Graph of the typical calibration window of an internal combustion spark-ignition engine [9].

Calibration of the 2011 Madison engine was performed using a water-brake dynamometer, a non-dispersive infrared CO meter, an Innovate wideband O₂ sensor, a chemiluminest NOₓ analyzer, exhaust thermocouple probes, and systematic tuning of volumetric efficiency maps. The CO meter was used to verify that both cylinders were operating at the same stoichiometric mixture in addition to verifying the O₂ sensor. The volumetric efficiency tables were then calibrated to within 0.1% of stoichiometric as indicated by the Innovate O₂ sensor. The tables included 160 points that are incremented every 500 rpm and 0.1 pressure ratio. The air/fuel mixture was adjusted to stoichiometric while the spark timing was advanced to balance engine torque and engine-out NOₓ levels. Exhaust gas temperatures were also monitored with thermocouples with an end goal of reaching 925°C (1697°F) to balance power and emissions within a safe operating regime.

![Picture of the Weber MPE 750 equipped with the custom camshaft operating on Madison dynamometer stand](image)

**Figure 10:** Picture of the Weber MPE 750 equipped with the custom camshaft operating on Madison dynamometer stand.

**EMISSIONS**

The first step in reducing emissions was the implementation of the custom camshaft for use with the turbocharged version of the Weber engine. In addition to providing the additional 24 kW of power, the turbo did so without negatively impacting sound or power as the turbo charger ‘muffles’ the exhaust while recapturing rejected energy in the waste stream. In order to further reduce emissions to automotive standards, the Wisconsin team’s worked with W.C. Heraeus GmgH to customize a catalyst specifically for this engine’s operating regime. Because the CSC emissions scoring is based on a combination of HC, CO and NOₓ levels, a three-way, platinum-based catalyst was chosen for its ability to effectively reduce all three pollutants simultaneously. The Heraeus washcoat was applied to a metal honeycomb substrate utilizing Emitec’s SuperFoil® technology. This technology influences the flow distribution causing turbulence within the cell channels. The turbulence increases the conversion rate by increasing exhaust gas/washcoat contact time, allowing for the use of smaller volume catalyst and/or reducing back pressure [11].

**Table 4:** Catalyst Specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>W.C Heraeus GmbH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>105mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>140mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>SuperFoil® Metal Honeycomb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>600 cpsi (cells per square inch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading</td>
<td>Platinum 11.1 g/ft³, Palladium 55.6 g/ft³, Rhodium 8.3 g/ft³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To optimize reduction of CO, HC and NOₓ, the exhaust gases entering the three-way catalyst must alternate between slightly rich and slightly lean. As seen in Figure 16, the catalytic reduction efficiency for NOₓ at a stoichiometric air-fuel ratio is slightly under 80%, while HC and CO are reduced at almost 90% efficiency. When a lean exhaust mixture passes through the catalytic converter, excess NOₓ is absorbed on the surface of the substrate while the CO and HC are reduced to H₂O and CO₂ in the presence of excess oxygen. In contrast, when a fuel-rich exhaust mixture goes through the catalyst, the NOₓ is released from the substrate and immediately reacts with the HC and CO to form N₂ and CO₂ and/or H₂O.
Parameters with Mototron’s closed-loop fuel trim algorithm control the lean/rich oscillation of the engine for maximum emission reduction. Figure 15 shows the oxygen sensor value in addition to the fueling multiplier. In this area of engine operation, the base calibration is slightly rich and so the emissions control algorithm has adjusted the fuel multiplier to oscillate around the stoichiometric value of 0.96. Because this engine is a two cylinder, the lean/rich parameters were adjusted for smooth engine operation which resulted in a 20 second cycle which is longer than a normal automobile engine.

After manufacturing a new muffler with integrated three way catalyst, Wisconsin repeated the emissions testing. Within the accuracy of Wisconsin’s emission equipment, the 2011 sled is as ‘clean’ or ‘cleaner’ than the 2010 model.

The certified emission levels for the Bucky CFS 750 are 0.15 g/kW-hr, 0.06 g/kW-hr and 6.90 g/kW-hr for NOx, THC and CO respectively.

Table 5: Engine operating parameters for the 2009 Bucky CFS during the five-mode emissions test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque (N-m)</th>
<th>Power (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode 1 (WOT)</td>
<td>6380</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 2 (85%)</td>
<td>5421</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 3 (75%)</td>
<td>4787</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 4 (65%)</td>
<td>4125</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode 5 (idle)</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the 2010 Clean Snowmobile Competition, the Bucky CFS outperformed its competitors in the emissions event registering drastically improved emissions at all five testing modes. The operating conditions of the engine during emissions testing are listed in Table 5. The resulting e-score from five-mode testing was 208.2 (out of a maximum possible score of 210). This corresponds to average specific mass emissions of 0.06, 6.90, and 0.15 g/kW-hr for HC, CO, and NOx respectively.

After manufacturing a new muffler with integrated three way catalyst, Wisconsin repeated the emissions testing. Within the accuracy of Wisconsin’s emission equipment, the 2011 sled is as ‘clean’ or ‘cleaner’ than the 2010 model.

Figure 11: Figure showing the NOx, CO, and HC conversion efficiency for a three-way catalytic converter as a function of exhaust gas air/fuel ratio operating on gasoline (Adapted from [9]).

Figure 12: Figure showing the NOx, CO, and HC conversion efficiency for a three-way catalytic converter as a function of exhaust gas air/fuel ratio operating on gasoline (Adapted from [9]).

After manufacturing a new muffler with integrated three way catalyst, Wisconsin repeated the emissions testing. Within the accuracy of Wisconsin’s emission equipment, the 2011 sled is as ‘clean’ or ‘cleaner’ than the 2010 model.

Testing on the 2011 Bucky CFS sled for Mode 1 showed that the catalyst system and engine calibration yielded a reduction in the average specific mass emissions (HC+NOx) from an untreated 10.1 g/kW-hr to 0.21 g/kW-hr, a 98% improvement to the Weber recreational engine. The catalyst also reduced CO emissions by 94%, from an untreated 153 g/kW-hr to 8.96 g/kW-hr. Figure shows the final results of the emissions testing on the Bucky CFS after full optimization of the emissions reduction systems. The certified emission levels for the Bucky CFS 750 are 0.15 g/kW-hr, 0.06 g/kW-hr and 6.90 g/kW-hr for NOx, THC and CO respectively.
Figure 18: Figure of the post catalyst emission levels for the Bucky CFS engine operating on E22 fuel.

**NOISE**

Wisconsin’s primary goal for sound reduction on the Bucky CFS was to reduce A-weighted sound pass-by levels below those of the current standard set by the International Snowmobile Manufacturers Association (ISMA), which is 78 dBa using SAE test procedure J192. A secondary goal was to reduce perceived sound levels to bystanders. Table 6 shows the A-weighted pass-by levels for the stock Polaris FST LX platform that the Bucky CFS is based on. Additionally shown are the sound emissions from a 2002 Arctic Cat 660 four-stroke and the average for two-stroke machines.

**Table 6:** A comparison of noise emissions from various over-snow vehicles. Measurements are on the A-weighted dB scale and based on pass-by testing at 15.24 m (50 ft). Derived from data in [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>33 km/hr</th>
<th>58 km/hr</th>
<th>75 km/hr</th>
<th>WOT</th>
<th>Idle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002 Arctic Cat 660</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Polaris FST LX</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Stroke Snowmobile Average</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MUFFLER DESIGN**

As a starting point, redesigning the Polaris exhaust system offered the most potential for noise reduction in the space available. In addition, Wisconsin’s emission strategy required the implementation of a single large catalyst.

Using the space still limited by packaging constraints on the right side of the snowmobile, students designed a four chamber catalytic muffler that fits in the same space and housing used by the stock muffler. The 2011 system incorporates a single catalyst that is 105 mm in diameter and 140 mm long. The 2011 Wisconsin CSC catalyst system improves upon the 2010 system by keeping the exhaust manifold and exhaust pipes stock which helps lower exhaust backpressure. The catalyst is mounted inside of the muffler directly after the inlet. Keeping the exhaust tubing length to a minimum helps ensure proper catalyst temperatures. The muffler itself is insulated with 3M Interam 1101 material surrounded by an aluminum heat shield housing. These features will help maintain sufficient catalyst temperatures while minimizing under hood temperatures.

**Figure 19:** CAD Model of the four chamber catalytic muffler cutaway designed for the Bucky CFS.

After passing through the catalyst chamber, the exhaust flow enters the first chamber of the muffler. The flow is then free to flow through a series of corrugated pipes to reduce high frequency sound and chambers to cancel the engine’s low, fundamental frequencies. Additionally Owens Corning “Silentex” muffler packing material was added in the second chamber to further reduce the effects of high frequency wave resonance within the exhaust system. Because the Bucky CFS operates at 5000 rpm instead of 7000 rpm, the fundamental engine frequency is lowered from 116 Hz to 83 Hz respectively. This gives the Bucky CFS a deeper ‘rumble’ and subsequently lowers its A-weighted sound level.
MECHANICAL NOISE REDUCTION

With the redesigned muffler virtually eliminating exhaust noise, the team focused on mechanical noise emitted from the snowmobile. In order to identify the main contributors of the sound from the snowmobile, previous pass-by sound testing had been performed.

To quantify typical noise levels from a snowmobile chassis, Wisconsin performed various different sound tests including drive-bys at constant speed and during WOT acceleration on a snowmobile with a chassis and engine comparable to the 2010 entry. The protocol for the WOT tests was an entry at 24 km/hr with a transition to wide-open throttle at a point 22.5 m before the plane of the microphones (speed at the point crossing the plane of the microphones was 69 km/hr). Sound measurements were taken in a variety of snowmobile configurations (described below). To best determine the major source of noise the team did a spectral noise analysis, using dual microphones at a distance of 15 m on several 72 km/hr pass-by tests. This data was recorded using a Hi-Techniques HT-600 data acquisition system, allowing a thorough analysis of sound emissions.

![Power Spectral Density (Un-Weighted) Plot of 2005 and 2006 Configuration of Bucky Classic 750 During 7.1 m Pass By Testing](image)

Figure 14: Spectral sound density of past UW-Madison CSC entries to determine typical sources of noise from a snowmobile (recreated from [14]).

Figure shows a Power Spectral Density plot from the Bucky 750 CFS. This plot shows the sound power emitted from the sled as a function of frequency. Three distinct peaks can be seen near 110, 220, 300, and 600 Hertz. By calculating the first and second order contributions of the snowmobile components at 72 km/hr, the sources of the peaks were discovered. The 110 Hz peak is first order engine noise, the 220 Hz peak is second order engine noise, and the 300 and 600 Hz peaks are first and second order noise produced where the track paddles interface the track. The right side 100 Hz and 225 Hz peak is much higher than the left side because the exhaust outlet is on the right side of the snowmobile.

Table 7: First and second order frequencies of sound emitted by three components of interest on the Bucky 750 CFS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1st Order Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>2nd Order Frequency (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ply Track - Paddle Interface</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-ply Track - Paddle Interface</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain Case</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>2690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Madison’s earlier research also proved that the majority of the sound from the engine was being emitted through the exhaust tailpipe and not directly from the engine through the hood and engine bay [14]. This makes it relatively easy to reduce the level of engine noise emitted by concentrating on improving the muffler instead of focusing on insulating the engine bay which adds weight, cost, and increases engine bay temperatures. The noise coming from the track paddle interface can also be reduced through relatively simple and effective mechanisms. Madison has achieved this three separate ways: installing a Camoplast 1-ply track in lieu of the OEM track, fabricating a damper to insulate the noise from the drive paddles (Figure), and by ensuring all chassis components weren’t resonating at typical operating conditions.
Figure 16: UW-Madison students obtaining frequency response of a snowmobile chassis with an accelerometer.

In addition to the mechanical sound reducing enhancements outlined above, the UW-Madison team decided to investigate the resonant frequency of the tunnel, looking for a possible track-tunnel sound amplification (Figure ). Using a Hi-Techniques data acquisition system and PCB Piezoelectric accelerometer, the team discovered that the tunnel had a very similar construction as previous years with a resonant frequency close to the 300 Hz track vibration (Figure ). This meant that any vibration from the track was being amplified by the tunnel, greatly increasing noise.

Figure 17: Figure of the Polaris IQ chassis frequency spectrum before and after tunnel stiffeners were added.

In an effort to change the resonance frequency of the tunnel, the team decided to add tunnel-stiffening angle brackets to the sides of the tunnel near the foot wells (Figure ).

The frequency response graph in Figure 23 clearly shows the elimination of the natural frequency near 300 Hz of the tunnel through the use of the tunnel stiffeners. Besides eliminating the majority of the natural frequencies, the two major remaining modes were reduced in amplitude by a factor of 1.5. Finally, switching to a 1-ply track also lowers the track’s fundamental frequency due to its larger pitch drive.

WEIGHT REDUCTION

One of the only ways to reduce CO₂ emissions is by decreasing fuel consumption. It is a well accepted fact that the most efficient way to improve a vehicle’s fuel economy is by reducing its weight. While lightweight components are effective at meeting this goal, they are very expensive and will go against the competition goals of keeping the snowmobile cost effective. However, there are certain components that can be modified or changed that will also reduce the overall weight. Madison began working on some of these weight reductions including exchanging the very heavy and complex stock reverse gearbox of the FST with a much lighter and simple design incorporated on the new 2009 Polaris Shift chassis’. The stock lead acid battery was substituted for a lithium ion battery made by Shorai Power resulting in a loss of 11.39 lbs. Additional weight was shed by switching from a 128 inch suspension with a stock track to a 121 inch model with a 1-ply Camoplast track. In combination, all of these changes reduced the overall weight of the machine by 18.2 kg (40.0 lbs) to 680 lbs.

LED HEADLIGHT ASSEMBLY

To reduce the overall electric load on the engine, the team decided to modify the headlight assembly by using a light emitting diode (LED) headlight. LEDs are much more energy efficient than the stock incandescent bulbs and are used primarily as daytime running lights. At night, the rider can select the high beams and use the powerful incandescent lights that meet the Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources requirement for 200 foot visibility [15]. (The states of Michigan and Minnesota do not have distance requirements for snowmobile headlights.) The headlight assembly consists of one 6 volt, 3 watt LED mounted in the center of the stock head light assembly. The stock incandescent bulbs use 97 watts of power on low beam. Therefore, using the LEDs results in a power savings of 94 watts.

COST ESTIMATES

Every component of the Bucky CFS is designed for manufacturability. In fact, many of the technologies are currently in use in other transportation applications such as the automotive camshaft, platinum three-way catalyst, and electronic throttle body. Furthermore, the driveline components were chosen based on actual efficiency data rather than sportiness or general perceived performance.

By using available parts to find a compromise between performance and good emissions/fuel economy, the team was able to concentrate on fine tuning the sled in places such as engine calibrations, sound reduction through good design, etc. While these modifications add value to the sled, they would not significantly increase the price to the end user. Actually, the retail price of many of the components that replaced stock parts were less expensive. This is not reflected in the MSRP as competition rules require a 50% premium be added to any component which increases perceived customer value compared to the stock snowmobile. Thus, the MSRP of the 2011 Bucky CFS is about $1,700 more than the Polaris IQ Turbo price of $11,499. However, if key components of the Bucky CFS such as the catalyst and ethanol sensor became standard parts within the snowmobile industry, the base price would likely only be $200 to $300 greater than today’s stock IQ Turbo configuration.

CONCLUSION

The 2011 University of Wisconsin – Madison Clean Snowmobile Challenge entry drastically improves upon the best available technology in performance, fuel economy, and emissions standards for over-snow recreational vehicles. Taking into consideration consumer performance requirements for an environmentally friendly snowmobile, the team engineered and installed a new, powerful turbocharged four-stroke powerplant that combined satisfying performance with EPA 2012 emissions compliance. The Bucky CFS’s flex fuel capability gives consumers the ability to utilize renewable fuels. Utilizing a specialized low valve overlap camshaft and a custom exhaust equipped with a three-way catalyst, the Bucky CFS scores 208.2 on the e-score. An extensive track drag study utilizing Wisconsin’s BuckEV clearly defines the optimal track, track tension, drive pitch, and bogie wheel combination. Overall, the 2011 entry is projected to be 23.63% more efficient. The redesigned drivetrain and exhaust after-treatment system ensures that Wisconsin’s sled does not damage the environment it tours. Designed for manufacturability and an aesthetically pleasing packaging, the 65 kW, studded Bucky CFS is a cost-effective solution for performance-oriented riders seeking a cleaner, quieter snowmobile.
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